

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,790	12/31/2001	Robert J. Belmares	P-A626-CIP	2973
7:	590 05/26/2004		EXAMI	NER
The White House on Turtle Creek			HUNG, YUBIN	
2401 Turtle Creek Blvd. Dallas, TX 75219-4760			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		•	2625	
			DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004	Q

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/038,790	BELMARES, ROBERT J.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Yubin Hung	2625			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica	ition appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum of the corporation will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC, by statute, cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>22 March 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠		☐ This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-14 is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Entry The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 December 2</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	2003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) on to the drawing(s) be held in abey be correction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/038,790 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

Response to Amendment/Arguments

- 1. This action is in response to amendment received on March 22, 2004.
- 2. Claims 1-14 have been cancelled. Claim 15 is still pending.
- 3. In view of applicant's amendment, the objection to the drawings and the specification has been withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed March 22, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Therefore, 35 USC 102 (e) rejection of claim 15 is respectfully maintained and incorporated by reference as set forth in the prior office action (paper #2).
- 5. Specifically, claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Koller et al. (US 6,130,707):

Regarding claim 15, Koller et al. discloses

- Take a benchmark image, divide it into multiple cells, assign a numerical value to each cell and sum the values [Figs. 3A-3C]
- Take another image, divide it into multiple cells, assign a numerical value to each cell and sum the values [Figs. 3A-3C]
- Compare the sums of the two images [Fig.4. Note the summing of the numeric differences in numeral 430 is equivalent to comparing the sums of the cells of the respective images in Claim 15]

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/038,790

Art Unit: 2625

6. In remarks Applicant argued in substance (P. 4, lines 6-14) that he disagrees with the following statement in the first office action "Note the summing of the numeric differences in Element 430 is equivalent to comparing the sums of the cells of the respective images in Claim 15" on the grounds that comparing sums gives an entirely different result than summing differences because Koller et al.'s summing is intended to allow for "global changes," and that comparing sums as in claim 15 allows someone who is working within the image range or something, such as a pet or a ceiling fan to move around within the image range without triggering an alert conditions, items which would not be considered "global" or "changes." This argument in not persuasive because mathematically taking the sum of differences (of corresponding cells from two images) is equivalent to taking the difference of the sums (which in turn is equivalent to comparing the sums); Koller et al.'s intent does not change this fact. In addition, the effect of claim 15 as recited above (i.e., allowing something in the image range without triggering an alert conditions) is not part of the claim and therefore is irrelevant. Regarding the Applicant's argument in lines 14-17, it is noted that 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) rejection is based on the filing date, not the issuance date and that Koller et al.'s filing date (April 14, 1997) is earlier than the filing date (February 26, 1999) of the current application's parent (09/258,056, now US Patent 6,335,976).

Application/Control Number: 10/038,790

Art Unit: 2625

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yubin Hung whose telephone number is (703) 305-1896. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on (703) 308-5246. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/038,790

Art Unit: 2625

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yubin Hung Paten Examiner May 24, 2004

> Twotla, M. Johnson PRIMARY EXAMINED